## The decays $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}D$ and $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\bar{D}$ and final state interactions

## Fayyazuddin

National Centre for Physics and Physics Department, Quaid-i-Azam University,

Islamabad, Pakistan.

## Abstract

The decays  $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}D$  and  $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\bar{D}$  taking into account final state interactions are discussed. These decays are described by four strong phases  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \tilde{\delta}_0, \tilde{\delta}_1$  (subscript 0 and 1 refer to I=0 and I=1 isospin final states), one weak phase  $\gamma$  and four real amplitudes. Isospin constraints are taken into account. It is argued that strong interaction dynamics gives  $\delta_1 \approx \tilde{\delta}_1$ . The four real amplitudes are estimated. Some observable consequences are discussed.

The weak decays  $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}D$  and  $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\bar{D}$  taking into account final state interactions have been studied by several authors [1,2,3,4]. In this paper we elaborate some of the points discussed in reference [4]

These decays are described by four real amplitudes, four strong phases and one weak phase  $\gamma$ . Since the effective weak Hamiltonian for these decays has  $\Delta I = 1/2$ , the isospin analysis give [4].

$$A(\bar{B} \to K^- D^0) = 2f_1 e^{i\delta_1} \tag{1a}$$

$$A(\bar{B}^0 \to K^- D^+) = [f_1 e^{i\delta_1} + f_0 e^{i\delta_0}]$$
 (1b)

$$A(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 D^0) = [f_1 e^{i\delta_1} - f_0 e^{i\delta_0}]$$
 (1c)

where  $\delta_0$  and  $\delta_1$  are the phase shifts for I=0 and I=1 isospin states. On other hand for the decays  $\bar{B} \to \bar{K}\bar{D}$ , we have

$$A(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 \bar{D}^0) = 2\tilde{f}_1 e^{i\gamma} e^{i\tilde{\delta}_1}$$
(2a)

$$A(B^- \to K^- \bar{D}^0) = e^{i\gamma} \left[ \tilde{f}_1 \ e^{i\tilde{\delta}_1} + \tilde{f}_0 \ e^{i\tilde{\delta}_0} \right]$$
 (2b)

$$A(B^- \to \bar{K}^0 D^-) = e^{i\gamma} \left[ -\tilde{f}_1 e^{i\tilde{\delta}_1} + \tilde{f}_0 e^{i\tilde{\delta}_0} \right]$$
 (2c)

¿From Eqs. (1) and (2), we obtain

$$R \equiv \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \to K^- D^+) - \Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 D^0)}{\Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \to K^- D^+) + \Gamma(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 D^0)} = \frac{2f_1 f_0 \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0)}{f_1^2 + f_0^2}$$
(3)

$$\tilde{R} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B^- \to K^- \bar{D}^0) - \Gamma(B^- \to \bar{K}^0 D^-)}{\Gamma(B^- \to \bar{K}^0 D^-) + \Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^0 D^-)} = \frac{2\tilde{f}_1 \tilde{f}_0 \cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0)}{\tilde{f}_1^2 + \tilde{f}_0^2}$$
(4)

Further if we consider the decay of  $B^{\mp}$  to CP-eigenstates  $D_{1,2}=(D^0\mp \bar{D}^0)/\sqrt{2}$  (in our convention  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  have CP=+1 and -1 respectively), we obtain

$$R_{1,2} \equiv \Gamma(B^- \to K^- D_{1,2}) + \Gamma(B^+ \to K^+ D_{1,2}) / \Gamma(B^- \to K^- D^0)$$

$$= \left[1 + \frac{1}{4}(r_1^2 + r_0^2 + 2r_1r_2\cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0) \mp r_1\cos\gamma\cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \delta_1) \mp r_0\cos\gamma\cos(\bar{\delta}_1 - \delta_1)\right]$$
 (5)

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \equiv \frac{\Gamma(B^{-} \to K^{-}D_{1,2}) - \Gamma(B^{+} \to K^{+}D_{1,2})}{\Gamma(B^{-} \to K^{-}D^{0})}$$

$$= \pm [r_{1}\sin\gamma(\tilde{\delta}_{1} - \delta_{1}) + r_{0}\sin\gamma\sin(\tilde{\delta}_{0} - \delta_{1})]$$
(6)

where

$$r_1 = \tilde{f}_1/f_1, r_0 = \tilde{f}_0/f_1 \tag{7}$$

It may be noted that we get the result of reference [4] if we put  $\tilde{f}_0 = \tilde{f}_1$  and  $\tilde{\delta}_0 = \tilde{\delta}_1$ .

So far our analysis is general. To proceed further we note that these decays are determined by the tree amplitude T, the color suppressed amplitudes  $C(\tilde{C})$  and annihilation amplitude  $\tilde{A}$ . In terms of these amplitudes

$$f_1 = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} |V_{cb}V_{us}^*| \frac{1}{2} (T + C)$$
 (8a)

$$f_0 = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} |V_{cb}V_{us}^*| \frac{1}{2} (T - C)$$
 (8b)

and

$$\tilde{f}_1 = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} |V_{ub}V_{cs}^*| \frac{1}{2} \tilde{C} \tag{9a}$$

$$\tilde{f}_0 = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} |V_{ub}V_{cs}^*| \frac{1}{2} (\tilde{C} + 2\tilde{A})$$
 (9b)

In the Wolfenstein representation of CKM matrix [5]

$$\left| \frac{V_{ub}V_{cs}}{V_{cb}V_{us}^*} \right| = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2} \tag{10}$$

Thus we get

$$r_1 = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{C}}{T + C}\right)} \tag{11}$$

$$r_0 = \sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2 \left(\frac{\tilde{C} + 2\tilde{A}}{T + C}\right)} \tag{12}$$

$$R = \frac{T^2 - C^2}{T^2 + C^2} \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0) \simeq (1 - 2\frac{C^2}{T^2}) \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0)$$
(13)

$$\tilde{R} = \frac{2\tilde{C}(\tilde{C} + 2\tilde{A})}{\tilde{C}^2 + (\tilde{C} + 2\tilde{A})^2} \cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0) \approx (1 - 2\tilde{A}^2/\tilde{C}^2) \cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0)$$
(14)

where we have retained only the terms upto  $C^2/T^2$  and  $\tilde{A}^2/\tilde{C}^2$ , since  $C^2/T^2$  and  $\tilde{A}^2/\tilde{C}^2$  are small (see below).

The following remarks about the strong phases are in order. Consider the S-wave scattering

$$\bar{K} + D \to \bar{K} + D$$
 (15)

$$\bar{K} + \bar{D} \to \bar{K} + \bar{D}$$
 (16)

Since  $\bar{K} \sim s\bar{q}$  and  $D \sim c\bar{q}$ , q = u or d,no s and u channels poles are allowed, whereas since  $\bar{D} \sim q\bar{c}$ , the poles with the quantum number of  $D_{so}^-$  are possible in these channels. But these states carry I = 0, hence these states will contribute to I = 0 scattering amplitude i.e. to  $\bar{\delta}_0$ . The t channel is common to the processes (15) and (16) and the lowest lying poles which can contribute are  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ . The  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$ - pole, contribute to I = 1 and I = 0 channel, respectively. Thus it is reasonable to assume that  $(\delta_1 = \tilde{\delta}_1)$ ; since s and u-channels poles do not contribute to I = 1 scattering amplitudes. Thus with  $\delta_1 = \tilde{\delta}_1$ , we obtain from Eqs. (5) and (6)

$$R_2 - R_1 = 2\cos\gamma \left(r_1 + r_0\cos(\tilde{\delta}_0 - \tilde{\delta}_1)\right) \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} = \pm r_0 \sin \gamma \sin(\tilde{\delta}_0 - \tilde{\delta}_1) \tag{18}$$

First we note that if  $2(\tilde{A}/\tilde{C}) << 1$ , then Eq. (14) gives  $\cos{(\tilde{\delta}_0 - \tilde{\delta}_1)}$  in term of  $\tilde{R}$ , and then from Eq. (18) one can extract  $r_0 \sin{\gamma}$ . If  $r_1 \simeq r_0$  which is the case if  $2(\tilde{A}/\tilde{C}) << 1$ , then, Eqs. (14), (17) and (18) give us information about  $r_0$  and the weak phase  $\gamma$ .

Before we give some estimates for the amplitudes  $T, C(\tilde{C})$  and  $\tilde{A}$ , we discuss SU(3) relations for the various amplitudes for the decays of  $\bar{B}$  described by the effective Lagrangians:

$$L_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} V_{us}^* [\bar{s} \gamma_{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) u] [\bar{c} \gamma_{\mu} (1 + \gamma_5) b]$$
 (19)

$$L_{eff} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{ub} V_{cs}^* [\bar{s} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) c] [\bar{u} \gamma_\mu (1 + \gamma_5) b]$$
 (20)

SU(3) analysis of these decays gives the following relations between various amplitudes

$$A(B^- \to K^- D^0) = A(\bar{B}^0 \to K^- D^+) + A(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 D^0)$$
 (21a)

$$A(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \pi^- D^+) = \sqrt{2}A(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \pi^0 D^0)$$
 (21b)

$$\sqrt{6}A(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \eta D^+) = A(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \pi^- D^+) - 2A(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \bar{K}^0 D^0)$$
 (21c)

and

$$A(B^- \to K^- \bar{D}^0) = A(B^- \to \bar{K}^0 D^-) + A(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 \bar{D}^0)$$
 (22a)

$$A\left(\bar{B}^{0} \to \pi^{+} D_{s}^{-}\right) = \sqrt{2}A\left(B^{-} \to \pi^{0} D_{s}^{-}\right)$$
 (22b)

$$A\left(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \pi^+ D^-\right) = \sqrt{2}A\left(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \pi^0 D^0\right) \tag{22c}$$

$$\sqrt{6}A\left(B^{-}\to\eta D_{s}^{-}\right) = A(\bar{B}^{0}\to\pi^{+}D_{s}^{-}) - 2A(B^{-}\to\bar{K}^{0}D^{-})$$
(22d)

$$\sqrt{6}A\left(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \eta \bar{D}^0\right) = A(\bar{B}_s^0 \to \pi^+ D^-) - 2A(\bar{B}^0 \to \bar{K}^0 \bar{D}^0)$$
(22e)

It may be noted that relations (21, a, b) and (22a, b, c) also follows from isospin analysis only.

We now calculate the amplitudes,  $T, C(\tilde{C})$  and  $\tilde{A}$  from the effective Lagrangians (19) and (20). In the factorization anstz, they are given by

$$T = a_1 f_K F_0^{B-D}(m_K^2)(m_B^2 - m_D^2)$$
(23)

$$C = a_2 f_D F_0^{B-K}(m_D^2)(m_B^2 - m_K^2) = \tilde{C}$$
(24)

$$\tilde{A} = a_1 f_B F_0^{D-K}(m_B^2)(m_D^2 - m_K^2) \tag{25}$$

where the form factor  $F_0$  is defined as  $[t=(p-p')^2]$  (q=c or u)

$$\langle P(p') | i\bar{q}\gamma_{\mu}(1+\gamma_{5})b | B(p) \rangle$$

$$\sim [F_{+}(t)(p+p')_{\mu} + F_{-}(t)(p-p')_{\mu}]$$

$$= \left[ (p+p')_{\mu} - \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{P}^{2}}{t} (p+p')_{\mu} \right] F_{1}(t)$$

$$+ \left[ \frac{m_{B}^{2} - m_{P}^{2}}{t} (p-p')_{\mu} \right] F_{0}(t)$$
(26)

One can get some information for the form factor  $F_0^{B-D}(t)$  from the heavy quark effective theory [6], but for the form factors involving one light meson we use a model which is based on dispersion relations, using once-subtracted dispersion relation for  $[F_+(t) + F_-(t)]$  and unsubtracted dispersion relation for  $[F_t(t) + F_-(t)]$  as given in reference [7]. Retaining only the contribution from the low lying states  $B^*(1^-)$  and  $B_0(O^+)$  in the dispersion relations, one gets

$$F_{1}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{f_{B}}{f_{P}} - \frac{f_{B^{*}}g_{B^{*}BP}}{m_{B^{*}}} - \frac{f_{B_{0}}g_{B_{0}BP}}{m_{B_{0}}} + \frac{2f_{B^{*}}g_{B^{*}BP}}{m_{B^{*}} - t} \right]$$

$$F_{0}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{f_{B}}{f_{P}} - \frac{f_{B^{*}}g_{B^{*}BP}}{m_{B^{*}}} - 2f_{B_{0}}g_{B_{0}BP} \frac{m_{B_{0}}}{m_{B}^{2}} + \frac{f_{B_{0}}g_{B_{0}BP}}{m_{B_{0}}} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{t}{m_{B}^{2}} \left[ \frac{f_{B}}{f_{P}} - \frac{f_{B^{*}}g_{B^{*}BP}}{m_{B^{*}}} \right]$$

$$+ 2 \left[ \frac{m_{B_{0}}}{m_{B}^{2}} f_{B_{0}}g_{B_{0}BP} \frac{m_{B_{0}}^{2} - m_{B}^{2}}{m_{B_{0}}^{2} - t} \right]$$

$$(27a)$$

If we demand that there should not be a term depending linearly on t in  $F_0(t)$ , we get the sum rule [8]

$$\frac{f_B}{f_P} = \frac{f_{B^*}g_{B^*BP}}{m_{B^*}} + \frac{f_{B_0}g_{B_0BP}}{m_{B_0}} \tag{28}$$

On using Eq. (28), we obtain from Eqs. (27), simple expressions for  $F_1(t)$  and  $F_0(t)$ :

$$F_1(t) = \frac{f_{B^*} m_{B^*} g_{B^*BP}}{m_{B^*}^2 - t} \tag{29}$$

$$F_0(t) = \left[ \frac{f_B}{f_P} - \frac{m_{B_0}^2}{m_{B_0}^2} \left( 1 - \frac{m_{B_0}^2 - m_B^2}{m_{B_0}^2 - t} \right) \left( \frac{f_B}{f_P} - \frac{f_{B^*} g_{B^*BP}}{m_{B^*}} \right) \right]$$
(30)

Paramaterising

$$g_{B^*BP} = \lambda_B \frac{m_{B^*}}{f_P} \tag{31}$$

and using the relation [9]

$$f_{B^*} = f_B \tag{32}$$

we get

$$F_1(t) = \lambda_B \frac{f_B}{f_P} \frac{m_{B^*}^2}{m_{B^*}^2 - t}$$
 (33)

$$F_0(t) = \frac{f_B}{f_P} \left\{ \lambda_B - \frac{m_{B_0}^2 - m_B^2}{m_B^2} (1 - \lambda_B) \left[ 1 - \frac{m_{B_0}^2}{m_{B_0}^2 - t} \right] \right\}$$
 (34)

$$F_1(0) = F_0(0) = \lambda_B \frac{f_B}{f_P} \tag{35}$$

These form factors except for  $\lambda_B$  depend upon the ratio  $\frac{f_B}{f_P}$  and masses  $m_B, m_{B^*}$  and  $m_{B_0}$  which can be extracted from the experimental data. We assume that  $\lambda_B$  and  $\lambda_D$  scale as:

$$\lambda_B = \frac{\Lambda}{m_B}, \lambda_D = \Lambda/m_D \tag{36}$$

where  $\Lambda$  is a scale characteristic of bound state which we take 1 GeV. Using this assumption, we get

$$\Gamma\left(D^* \to D^0 \pi^+\right) = \frac{g_{D^*D\pi}^2}{6\pi} \frac{p_{\pi}^3}{m_{D^*}^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6\pi} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m_D} \frac{m_{D^*}}{f_{\pi}}\right)^2 \frac{p_{\pi}^3}{m_{D^*}^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{6\pi} \left(\frac{\Lambda}{m_D}\right)^2 \frac{p_{\pi}^3}{f_{\pi}^2} \approx 52KeV$$
(37)

Thus we obtain

$$\Gamma(D^{**} \to D\pi) = \Gamma(D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+) + \Gamma(D^{*+} \to D^+\pi^0) \simeq 78 \text{ KeV}$$
 (38)

to be compared with the experimental upper limit [10]  $\Gamma$  < 113 KeV. Thus our assumption that  $\lambda_D = \Lambda/m_D$  can be tested experimentally. Finally using Eqs. (36), we get

$$F_0^{D-K}(m_B^2) = \frac{f_B}{f_K} \left[ \frac{\Lambda}{m_D} - \frac{m_{B_0}^2 - m_B^2}{m_B^2} (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{m_D}) (1 - \frac{m_{B_0}^2}{m_{B_0}^2 - m_D^2}) \right]$$
(39)

$$F_0^{D-K}(m_B^2) = \frac{f_{D_s}}{f_K} \left[ \frac{\Lambda}{m_{D_s}} - \frac{m_{s_0}^2 - m_D^2}{m_D^2} (1 - \frac{\Lambda}{m_{D_s}}) (1 - \frac{m_{D_{s_0}}^2}{m_{D_{s_0}}^2 - m_B^2}) \right]$$
(40)

Using following values for the masses (in GeV): [11]  $m_B = 5.279, m_{B_0} = 5.60, m_{D_s} = 1.968, m_{D_{s_0}} = 2.357, m_D = 1.869$  and  $f_D = 200 MeV, f_{D_s} = 240 MeV, f_B = 180 MeV$  and  $f_K = 158 MeV$ [6], we obtain

$$F_0^{B-K}(m_B^2) \simeq 0.202 \, \frac{f_B}{f_K} \simeq 0.23$$
 (41)

$$F_0^{D-K}(m_B^2) \simeq 0.145 \ \frac{f_{D_S}}{f_K} \simeq 0.22$$
 (42)

Hence we get

$$\tilde{A}/\tilde{C} = \frac{a_1}{a_2} \frac{f_B}{f_K} \frac{F_0^{D-K}(m_B^2)}{F_0^{B-K}(m_D^2)} \left(\frac{m_D^2 - m_K^2}{m_B^2 - m_K^2}\right) \simeq 0.120$$
(43)

$$C/T = \frac{a_2}{a_1} \frac{f_D F_0^{B-K}(m_D^2)(m_B^2 - m_K^2)}{f_K F_0^{D-K}(m_K^2)(m_B^2 - m_D^2)} \simeq 0.126$$
(44)

where we have used for the color suppression factor [12]

$$\frac{a_2}{a_1} = 0.26 \tag{45}$$

and [6]

$$F_0^{B-D}(m_K^2) = 0.587 (46)$$

Now using Eqs. (43), and (44), and  $\sqrt{\rho^2 + \eta^2} \simeq 0.36[13]$  we get from Eqs. (11), (12), (13), (14), (17) and (18)

$$r_1 \approx 0.040, r_0 \approx 0.050, r_0/r_1 = 1.25$$
 (47)

$$R \approx 0.968 \cos(\delta_1 - \delta_0) \tag{48}$$

$$\tilde{R} \approx 0.971 \cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0) \tag{49}$$

$$R_2 - R_1 \approx 0.8 \cos \gamma [1.25 + \cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0)] \tag{50}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \approx \pm 0.05 \sin \gamma \sin(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0) \tag{51}$$

If the neglect the term  $2(\tilde{A}/\tilde{C})^2$ , then we have

$$\cos(\tilde{\delta}_1 - \tilde{\delta}_0) \approx \tilde{R} \tag{52}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{1,2} \approx \pm (0.05)\sqrt{1 - \tilde{R}^2} \sin \gamma \tag{53}$$

$$R_2 - R_1 \approx 0.08 \cos \gamma [1.25 + \tilde{R}]$$
 (54)

To conclude if  $2(\tilde{A}/\tilde{C})^2$  is negligible then the branching ratio  $\tilde{R}$ , the asymmetry  $\mathcal{A}_{1,2}$  and  $R_2 - R_1$  can give information about the weak phase  $\gamma$ . Conversely if weak phase is known from some other processes, Eqs.(53), (54) and  $\tilde{R}$  give us information about  $r_0$  and  $r_0/r_1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Gronau, Phys. Rev. D 58, 037301 (1998).
- [2] M.Gronau and D Wyler, Phys. Lett. B **265**, 172, (1991).
- [3] Z-Z. Xing, hep.ph/9804434, Phys. Rev. D.
- [4] M. Gronau and J.L. Rosner, hep-ph/9807447.
- [5] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1945 (1983); N. Cabbibo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 10, 531 (1963); M. Kobayski and K. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49, 652 (1973).
- [6] See for instance, H. Neubart and B. Stech, "Non-leptonic weak decays of B-mesons" hep-ph 9705292; To appear in the Second Edition of Heavy Flavors, edited by A.J. Buras and M. Linder (World Scientific, Singapore).
- [7] Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 3385 (1994). In the present paper we have used slightly different definitions for  $g_{B_0B\pi}$  and  $f_{B^*}i.e.\frac{m_{B_0}^2-m_B^2}{2m_B}g_{B_0B\pi} \to \frac{m_{B_0}^2-m_B^2}{m_{B_0}}g_{B_0B\pi}, f_{B^*} \to m_{B^*}f_{B^*}$
- [8] C.A. Domingues and N. Paver, Z. Phys. C 41, 270 (1988).
- [9] N. Isgur and M.B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B **232**, **113** (1989). and **237**, 527 (1990).
- [10] Particle Data Group, Review of Particle Properties, Z. Phys. C 3, 620 (1998).
- [11] For the masses  $m_{B_0}$  and  $m_{D_{s_0}}$ , see for example Fayyazuddin and Riazuddin, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **24**, **23** (1998).
- [12] T.E. Browder, K. Houscheid and D. Pedrini, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 46, 395 (1997).
- [13] A. Ali and D. London hep-ph/9707251